



Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries **REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA** 

# THIRD CONSULTATIVE REPORT ON COMPREHENSIVE PRODUDCER DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT (INCEPTION – MARCH 2018)

20 April 2018

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIS	T OF ACRONYMS	ii
1.	BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT	1
2.	RATIONALE	2
3.	METHODOLOGY	2
4.	STAKEHOLDER CONSULTED	3
	OUTCOMES/KEY ISSUES RAISED DURING CONSULTATIVE PROCESSES FOR THE	9
6.	CONCLUSIONS	.42

### LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACPC	:	Agricultural Credit Policy Council	
ADB	:	Agricultural Development Bank	
AgriSA	:	Agriculture South Africa	
AIMS	:	Agricultural Information Management System	
ARC	:	Agricultural Research Council	
ASRDC	:	Agricultural Sustainable Rural Development Committee	
BAWSI	:	Black Association of the Wine and Spirits Industry	
CARRD	:	Center for Agrarian Reform and Rural Development	
CASP	:	Comprehensive Agricultural Support Programme	
CASP QRM	:	Comprehensive Agricultural Support Programme Quarterly Review	
		Meeting	
CGA	:	Citrus Growers Association	
CPDS	:	Policy on Comprehensive Producer Development Support	
CSO	:	Civil Society Organisations	
DED	:	Department of Economic Development	
DFDC	:	Deciduous Fruit Development Chamber	
DPME	:	Department of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation	
DPs	:	Development Partners	
DRDLR	:	Department of Rural Development and Land Reform	
DTI	:	Department of Trade and Industry	
ESE	:	Ethiopian Stock Exchange	
EXCO	:	Executive Committee	
FSA	:	Forestry South Africa	
FSA	:	Fruit South Africa	
FS DARD	:	Free State Department of Agriculture and Rural Development	
GDARD	:	Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development	
GFADA	:	Grain Farmer Development Association	
IDC	:	Industrial Development Corporation	
IFAD	:	International Fund for Agricultural Development	
KCEP	:	Kenya Cereal Enhancement Programme	
KZN DARD	:	KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture and Rural Development	
LDARD	:	Limpopo Department of Agriculture and Rural Development	

MANCO	:	Management Committee	
NAMC	:	National Agricultural Marketing Council	
NAFU - EC	:	National African Farmers Union - Eastern Cape	
NDP	:	National Development Plan	
NEDA	:	National Economic and Development Agency	
NERPO	:	National Emergent Red Meat Producers Organisations	
NT	:	National Treasury	
OCFCU	:	Oromia Coffee Farmers' Cooperative Union	
PAO	:	Poverty Alleviation Office	
PDAs	:	Provincial Departments of Agriculture	
RMPO	:	Red Meat Producer Organisation	
SAPPO	:	South African Pork Producers' Organisation	
SASA	:	South African Sugar Association	
SASA	:	South African Sugar Association	
SATGI	:	South African Table Grape Industry	
SAUFF	:	South African United Fishing Front	
SBC	:	Small Business Corporation	
SEFA	:	Small Enterprise Finance Agency	
SEIA	:	Socio-Economic Impact Assessment	
SIZA	:	Sustainability Initiative of South Africa	
WC DOA	:	Western Cape Department of Agriculture	
YARD	:	Youth in Agriculture and Rural Development	

## CONSULTATIVE REPORT ON NATIONAL POLICY ON COMPREHENSIVE PRODUDCER DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT

### 1. BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Government has embarked on numerous initiatives to provide financial and other nonfinancial support targeting mainly the smallholder producers. The intent was to mainstream participation of smallholder producers in the sector through the provision of on-and-off farm infrastructure, improve extension support, provide training and mentorship, market access, provide access to appropriate technologies, increase localised production, improve food and nutrition security and improve the livelihoods of rural households. Coupled with this, there have been other entities from public and private sector, non-governmental organisations etc. who also provide various forms of support to the sector. Of the interventions listed above, access to on – and off –farm infrastructure was the one found to have significant success factor by the recent National Evaluations conducted. Although production increased for both livestock and crops and livelihoods improved of beneficiaries of the programme, the impact was insignificant given that the scope and coverage of the programme is too wide (2014 CASP impact Study, DPME)

Despite these interventions, the challenges confronting the sector such as skewed participation and inadequate, ineffective and inefficient support and delivery systems as identified in the Strategic Plan for South African agriculture (2001) still exists. There is still a need to substantially contribute to the achievement of the national development objectives (i.e. *poverty eradication, economic growth and job creation)* as stipulated in the National Development Plan (NDP) in which agriculture is identified as one of the primary drivers of growth in the economy.

There is a further need to guide interventions (support services) provided to the various categories of producers by Government, the private sector, Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) and Development Partners (DPs) and other sector stakeholders. Hence, the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries is in the process of developing a Policy on Comprehensive Producer Development Support (CPDS).

#### 2. RATIONALE

Stakeholder consultation is one of the critical steps in developing a policy as it ensures that every practical and viable policy alternative has been considered. Stakeholders and those closest to a problem or affected by policy changes can sometimes suggest useful ways to solve it because they often have more and better insight about the practical impacts of policies that the officials developing them.

Consultation will provide an opportunity to get the views of affected stakeholders and for developing creative and realistic solutions and strategies to respond to the identified challenges. This is one of the most important elements for creating robust and comprehensive agricultural planning outcomes.

Furthermore, question 6 of the Final (Phase 2) Socio-Economic Impact Assessment (SEIA) requires policy developers to report on consultations on the proposal with the affected government agencies, business and other groupings. Three key questions are of utmost important in this regard i.e. what do they (stakeholder) see as the main benefits, costs and risks? Do they support or oppose the proposal? What amendments do they propose, and have these amendments been incorporated in your proposal?

It within this context that the Policy Drafting Team endeavoured to consult as widely and genuinely as possible to ensure that they solicit inputs from affected and interested stakeholder and most importantly to get inputs from other policy makers to avoid creating overlapping regulatory burden to producers and implementers.

#### 3. METHODOLOGY

In line with the Terms of Reference for the development of a Policy on Comprehensive Producer Development Support, a four tier consultation process was proposed from planning to finalisation of the policy; namely:

- **Scoping exercise:** This will include consultation with experts locally and internally to get a broader view of the trends and best practices in terms of producer support.
- Internal consultation: using internal structures of DAFF i.e. Agricultural Sustainable Rural Development Committee (ASRDC), Management Committee

(MANCO) and Executive Committee (EXCO) this consultation will be undertaken to ensure policy alignment with existing policies, plans and strategies of the department. This was also expanded to include technical directorates who were invited to make presentation to the Drafting Team on policies and programmes relating to producer support.

- External consultation with key stakeholders: This will involve consultations with key stakeholders within the sector in the form of Reference Group and Inter-Departmental meetings as well as MINTECH to present progress with regard to policy development and solicit inputs and comments on the draft policy.
- **External consultation with the general public:** Consultation with the general public will also be done.

Furthermore, members of the Policy Drafting Team and some senior management staff of the Food Security and Agrarian Reform Branch participated in key projects/events relating to producer support including the following: (i) Diagnostic Evaluation of the Government Support to Smallholder Producers, (ii) Operation Phakisa for Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development, and (iii) Integrated Agricultural Development Finance Conference. Inputs from the above activities have also been considered in compiling this report except for the Operation Phakisa which was still embargoed at the time of writing this report.

#### 4. STAKEHOLDER CONSULTED

The following stakeholders were consulted/engaged using the methodology described in section 3.

Table 1: List of stakeholders consulted on the Policy on Comprehensive Producer Development Support

Meeting/Engagement	Name or description of stakeholders consulted		
Туре			
Scoping exercise	Local:		
	University of Free State, University of Venda, Free State		
	Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, Siena Human		
	Capital.		
	International:		

Meeting/Engagement	Name or description of stakeholders consulted		
Туре	·		
	(i) Ethiopia: Ethiopian Stock Exchange and Oromia Coffee		
	Farmers' Cooperative Union (OCFCU).		
	(ii) <u>Kenya:</u> International Fund for Agricultural Development		
	Kenya Country Office, Kenya Women Micro Finance Bank,		
	Kenya Cereal Enhancement Programme, Equity Bank		
	Kenya, Financial Sector Deepening, Consultative Group to		
	Assist the Poor, PROFIT, Safaricom, Mezzanine, Jamii Bora		
	Bank Itd.		
	(iii) <u>Zambia:</u> Ministry of Agriculture		
	(iv) <u>China:</u> China Banking Regulatory Commission, Renmin		
	University, Research Bureau at the People's Bank of China,		
	Agricultural Development Bank, Sanxia Bank, Jingdong		
	Microcredit Company, rural community mutual fund,		
	Poverty Alleviation Office, Rural Development Institute of		
	Chinese Academy of Sciences.		
	(v) <u>Philippines</u> : Small Business Corporation, The Department		
	of Trade and Industry, Department of Agrarian Reform,		
	Department of Agriculture, Land Bank, Agricultural Credit		
	Policy Council (ACPC), National Economic and		
	Development Agency (NEDA), Center for Agrarian Reform		
	and Rural Development (CARRD)		
Drojact Managament	(vi) <u>Kyrgyzstan</u> : Dairy Spring Milk Collection Point		
Project Management			
	during the first Project Management Unit meeting: Development		
	Finance Coordination, Policy Research Support, Cooperative and		
	Enterprise Development, BBBEE Charters Compliance, Marketing,		
	Agro-Processing Support, Subsistence Farming, Smallholder Development, Infrastructure Support, Sector Education and		
	Training, Sector Transformation, National Extension Support,		
	National Extension Reform, Inspection Services, Food Safety and		
	The second of the second s		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Due to poor attendance, the format of this meeting was changed to Drafting Team Meetings where technical directorates were invited to present their programmes and policies.

Meeting/Engagement	Name or description of stakeholders consulted		
Туре			
	Quality Assurance, Agricultural Inputs Control, Food Import and		
	Export Standards, Plant Production, Plant Health, Genetic		
	Resources, Veterinary Public Health, Animal Health, Smallscale		
	Forestry, Water Use and Irrigation Development, Climate Change		
	and Disaster Management, Land Use and Soil Management,		
	Research Support (fisheries).		
Drafting Team meetings	The following directorates were engaged through the Drafting		
	Team meetings:		
ASRDC	Meeting attended by the Directors of DAFF		
EXCO	Meeting attended by members of the Executive Committee of		
	DAFF		
MINTECH	Meeting attended by the Heads of Departments for Provincial		
	Department of Agriculture (and Rural Development)		
Provincial Extension	Conferences attended mainly by Extension Practitioners in the		
Conferences	provinces. The Policy was presented in the following provinces:		
	Limpopo, North-West, Northern Cape, Gauteng, Free State and		
	KwaZulu-Natal.		
Consultative meeting	Meeting attended by representatives from Provincial Department		
with PDAs	of Agriculture (and Rural Development)		
Natural Resources	A MINTECH sub-committee responsible for overseeing activities		
Management (NRM)	relating to NRM. Representatives from PDAs responsible for NRM		
Working Group	attend such a meeting.		
Agriculture Policy,	Meeting organised by Gauteng Department of Agriculture and		
Programme and Service	Rural Development (GDARD) attended by the GDARD staff with		
Delivery Consultative	the HOD – GDARD in attendance.		
workshop (Gauteng)			
Public Sector Forum for	Meeting attended by the Directors of Extension and Advisory		
Extension and Advisory	Services of the Provincial Department of Agriculture (and Rural		
Services	Development)		
Consultative meeting	Meeting attended mainly by representatives from the national		
with National	departments such as Water and Sanitation, Trade and Industry,		

Meeting/Engagement	Name or description of stakeholders consulted		
Type			
1900			
Departments	Rural Development and Land Reform etc.		
Consultative meetings	Meeting attended by representatives from commodity		
with commodity	organisations. The following commodities were represented in		
organisations <sup>2</sup>	either or both meetings: Southern Africa Food Lab (SAFL),		
	National Red Meat Producers Organisations (NERPO), Forestry		
	Sector Charter Council, Red Meat Producer Organisation (RMPO),		
	HortGro, Sustainability Initiative of South Africa (SIZA), Forestry		
	South Africa (FSA), Citrus Academy, South African United Fishing		
	Front (SAUFF), South African Sugar Association, GrainSA,		
	Agricultural Research Council (ARC), Oceana Group, Black		
	Association of the Wine and Spirits Industry (BAWSI), Impendulo		
	Foundation, Subtrop, Citrus Growers Association (CGA), South		
	African Pork Producers' Organisation (SAPPO), South African		
	Sugar Association (SASA), South African Table Grape Industry		
	(SATGI), Fruit South Africa (FSA), Industrial Development		
	Corporation (IDC), Deciduous Fruit Development Chamber, Grain		
	Farmer Development Association (GFADA), Winter Cereal Trust,		
	VinPro.		
Reference Group	A team of experts from government, industry, academia, civil		
meetings <sup>3</sup>	society organisations, farmers union etc. who were appointed by		
	then Acting Director-General to provide advice and oversight to		
	the policy development process.		
Second Consultative	The meeting was attended by DAFF and commodity		
meeting with Commodity	organisations. The following were in attendance: Citrus Crowers		
Organisations	Association (CGA), National Red Meat Producers Association		
	(NERPO), South African Pork Producers' Organisation (SAPPO),		
	South African Table Grape Industry (SATGI), Fruit South Africa		
	(FSA), Industrial Development Coporation (IDC), Deciduous Fruit		
	Development Chamber (DFDC), Citrus Academy, Southern Africa		
	Food Lab, Red Meat Producers Organisation (RMPO), Grain		

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Two meeting were held as at the time of drafting this report. 3 Two meeting were held as at the time of drafting this report.

Meeting/Engagement	Name or description of stakeholders consulted		
Туре			
	Farmer Development Association (GFADA), Winter Cereal Trust,		
	South African United Fishing Front (SAUFF), VinPro, International		
	Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), South African Sugar		
	Association (SASA), Agricultural Research Council (ARC)		
CASP Quarterly Review	This meeting is attended by DAFF and PDAs. Other stakeholders		
Meeting	also attend as per invitation.		
Stakeholder Consultative	This meeting was mainly attended by government officials (both		
meeting on a Diagnostic	national and provincial), commodity organisation, academic		
Evaluation of the	institutions, research instiutions, farmers union, financial		
Government Support to	institutions, international organisations, and other development		
Smallholder Producers	partners.		
Stakeholder Workshop	Limpopo Department of Agriculture and Rural Development		
on the Development of a	(LDARD), DAFF, Land Bank, Nulandis, <i>the</i> DTI, PicoTeam,		
Comprehensive Producer	Progress Milling, John Deere, DWS, IDC, McCain and other		
Support Strategy in	private sector representatives.		
Limpopo			
Operation Phakisa for	A team of experts from government, industry, academia, civil		
Agriculture, Rural	society organisations, farmers union etc. The following		
Development and Land	orgabninsations participated during the Operation Phakisa's		
Reform	Producer Support Workstream: DAFF, Agriculture South Africa		
	(AgriSA), Department of Rural Development and Land Reform		
	(DRDLR), Free State Department of Agriculture and Rural		
	Development (FS DARD), KwaZulu-Natal Department of		
	Agriculture and Rural Development (KZN DARD), National African		
	Farmers Union - Eastern Cape (NAFU EC), National Agricultural		
	Marketing Council (NAMC), National Treasury (NT), Small		
	Enterprise Finance Agency (SEFA), Youth in Agriculture and Rural		
	Development (YARD), Department of Economic Development		
	(DED), Industrial Development Corporation (IDC), Gauteng		
	Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD),		
	Western Cape Department of Agriculture (WC DOA), ARC, Land		

Meeting/Engagement	Name or description of stakeholders consulted	
Туре		
	Bank, Northern Cape Department of Agriculture and Rural	
	Development (NC DARD), Fabric, Matuba Wines,	
Integrated Development	The conference was attended by about 100 participants from	
Finance Policy	government, industry, academia, civil society organisation,	
Conference (joint	financial institutions, private sector, civil society organisations,	
conference with Policy	farmers union, international organisations etc.	
on Comprehensive		
Producer Development		
Support		

## 5. OUTCOMES/KEY ISSUES RAISED DURING CONSULTATIVE PROCESSES FOR THE POLICY

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/ Concerned stakeholders	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been <u>incorporated</u> in your proposal
A. General Com		propose	
A1. Language usage	Acting Director-General	Need to be consistent in the usage of	This has been revised in the document but a
	(ADG)	language especially relating to support	technical policy write-up is still needed.
		whether we adopt activity based	
		statements (e.g. Provision of) or	
		Outcomes based statements.	
A2. Inclusion of	EXCO, ASRDC, NRM Mintech	There is a need to include Forestry and	Strides have been made to ensure that
Forestry and	Working Group, Natural	Fisheries in the Policy.	generic and specific problems and support
Fisheries	Resource Management		interventions for forestry and fisheries are
	(NRM) MINTECH Working		included in the policy. Fisheries support still
	Group, Commodity		requires some attention as this is not
	Organisation (Forestry SA,		adequately addressed in the document.
	SAUFF etc.)		
A3. Gender	Head of Department	Gender sensitise the document	The document is gender neutral and a section
Sensitivity	(Western Cape Department		on transformation was also added to
	of Agriculture)		address/target specific needs of women.
A4. Comprehensive	Head of Department	Add a comprehensive list of institutions	• A draft document containing a list of
list of institutions	(Western Cape Department	providing support as an annexure to	institutions (both public and private

Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they Have these amendments been
Concerned stakeholders	propose <u>incorporated</u> in your proposal
of Agriculture), also	the policy sector) providing support to producers
embedded in the	has been developed.
Improvement Plan for the	
Diagnostic study for	
Smallholder Support	
CASP QRM	$\circ$ There is a need for a strict $\circ$ The prerequisite has been strengthened
	qualifying criteria
CASP QRM	$\circ$ Get the inputs of other $\circ$ Various mechanism described in the
	departments that will play a role in consultation methodology were used to
	the space of producer support ensure that the policy development
	process is inclusive.
MINTECH	<ul> <li>Engage the Department of Rural</li> <li>The DRDLR was engaged since inception</li> </ul>
	Development and Land Reform of the policy and they are still playing a
	major role in the policy development
	process
CASP QRM	$\circ$ There is a need to propose a $\circ$ Based on the lessons from Nigeria and
	procurement system in Kenya, the policy is proposing the
	government. implementation of an e-voucher system
	for the provision of support to
	beneficiaries.
	Concerned stakeholdersofAgriculture),alsoembeddedintheImprovementPlanforDiagnosticstudyforSmallholder SupportstudyforCASP QRMStatestateCASP QRMStatestateMINTECHStatestate

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
A8. Evaluation	Reference Group	<ul> <li>Consider evaluations that are being</li> </ul>	• All evaluations on programmes for
Studies		done through the leadership of the	smallholder support were studied in
		DPME	details and some of the
			recommendations have been
			incorporated into the policy.
A9. Business models	Reference Group	$\circ$ The model of supporting the	$_{\odot}$ The policy does not advocate for any
to be supported		establishment of farmer group	specific model. Robust business models
		especially cooperatives should be	will be developed and assessments
		discouraged as there are few if any	individually.
		success stories of implementing	
		such models in South Africa.	
	SASA	$\circ$ The Sugar industry would further	• A proposal for a study tour in the sugar
		welcome an opportunity to present	industry is noted and arrangements will
		to the Technical Task Team the	be made in collaboration with relevant
		support programmes and tools that	representative(s) of the sugar industry.
		are in place to support black	
		guidelines on production costs and	
		mechanisation requirements.	
A10. Women, Youth		$\circ$ The policy need to be clear on	• New targets for women, youth and
and People with		inclusion of these groups including	people with disabilities have been set in

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
disabilities		former detainees; pro-village	the policy.
		support to be considered; to tailor	
		support for agricultural graduates	
		with an intention to enter into	
		agribusiness and assist them to	
		avoid red tapes; capacity building	
		and training of these groups is	
		welcomed in the policy;	
A11. Support for	SASA	• The Sugar industry is in support of	$_{\odot}$ We thank the sugar industry for
policy		the draft policy. However, as	supporting the draft policy and we have
		motivated above, the policy needs	noted comments made by the industry as
		to be regulated to ensure	well. The policy will indeed need to be
		compliance by Provincial	regulated – a Producer Support Bill will
		Departments, and all other	be developed after the policy has been
		government institutions that are	approved.
		involved in the agricultural sector.	
	MINTEC	o The Agricultural Research Council	$_{\odot}$ The policy provide absolute numbers on
		(ARC) is in support of the policy.	thresholds but also provides ranges in

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		However, it recommended that the	terms of annual turnover used as a proxy
		Drafting Team should "stay away	to determine the category of producer.
		from absolute numbers but provide	
		ranges".	
A12. Policy modelling	CASP QRM	$_{\odot}$ Is there an analysis where the	• Various studies were conducted notably
		policy was modelled	international best practices which
			assessed support programmes and
			policies in China, Brazil, Kenya,
			Philippines, Zambia, Nigeria etc. A pre-
			and post-1994 support programmes were
			also analysed.
To consider the		◦ The support needs to be	o One of the policy principles is
Business Orientation		economic and business-oriented	premised on agriculture being viewed
of producers			as a business. Hence support will be
			based on a bankable business plan for
			Smallholder and Medium Scale
			commercial producers.
Efficient provision of		$\circ$ Need to improve the timing of	• An sms or card based E-voucher
services		provisions of services and goods	System will be introduced to ensure
			timely provision of services o

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
			producers.
Consideration of		• Need to overhaul and redesign	$\circ$ This is acknowledged and has been
recommendations of		all farmer support programmes	addressed through the introduction of
Evaluations on			blended financial support.
programmatic issues		• CASP should be institutionalised	$\circ$ This is being addressed and there is
		or mainstreamed and the scope	already funding committed by the
		and coverage of CASP should be	National Treasury in this regard.
		reduced to increase its	
		effectiveness	
		<ul> <li>Increase efforts to promote</li> </ul>	• The Department has a programme in
		market access and	the pipe line to specifically address
		commercialisation.	black producer commercialisation in
			the sector.
		o Increase efforts towards	• The policy has guiding principles and
		building the capacity of the	specific sections that address issues
		projects to be self-reliant and	on skills audit, capacity development
		self-sufficiency.	and introduces own contribution to
			effect self-reliance amongst
			producers.

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
B. Technical Co	mments		
B1. Problem Statement	DAFF ADG	<ul> <li>Need to expand and focus on key problems e.g. inequitable access manifest itself in various ways including access to land, water, energy</li> </ul>	• This has been included in the revised Policy "Problem Statement"
	South African Sugar Association	<ul> <li>Section 2.1 states that "access to finance is also a critical challenge facing smallholder producers who cannot use their land as collateral as a result of their rights to land". Smallholder farmers on communal land do have rights to land, but this has not been translated into a registered right that would enables them to approach financial institutions.</li> <li>Section 2.4. SASA agrees that coordination and integration are key</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The relevant section has been amended to include the comment from SASA</li> <li>Noted with appreciation.</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		challenges and has often led to the	
		limited resources of government	
		not being strategically allocated to	
		ensure maximum benefit.	
		$\circ$ The current CASP and RADP	
		programmes are a good example	
		of poor co-ordination. There is a	
		lack of joint planning by	
		government departments at an	
		operational level, which has	
		resulted in either "double dipping"	
		or frustrations on the part of	
		farmers in cases where both grants	
		are required to be accessed	
		simultaneously to fund different,	
		but interrelated aspects of a	
		project.	
B2: Policy objectives	Reference Group	• The policy should be more specific	$_{\odot}$ The objectives were amended but still
		in terms of what needs to be	needs to be tested using the SMART
		achieved. The objectives of the	principles.

C			
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		policy should be clear and specific (SMART).	
M	MINTECH	<ul> <li>The policy serves as a guiding document in terms of how we should package support to farmers across the value chain. There will be some modification based on provincial peculiarities.</li> </ul>	• This is noted
_	Western Cape Department of Agriculture	<ul> <li>Capped support and exit strategy should be developed as a graduation plan.</li> <li>Use municipal template for registration of poor (indigent) households</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Special workshop to discuss guiding principles was organised with commodity organisations. A revised section on guiding principles has been incorporated in the policy.</li> <li>This has been included in the guiding principles</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
	CASP QRM, PDAs	<ul> <li>There is a need to relook at the proposed capped support thresholds as this may exclude other enterprises.</li> <li>The Policy should be strict on the qualifying criteria otherwise funding will be inadequate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New proposal on thresholds were developed by a Special Task Team comprising of DAFF, PDAs, and ARC.</li> <li>The policy proposes a number of principles and requirements for the various categories of producers including preregistration and on-going reporting of farming activities.</li> </ul>
	Provincial Departments of Agriculture	<ul> <li>Take care not to re-invent the wheel in the case of registration of producers; it will be important to do a scoping exercise on existing legislation, programmes and other support interventions available to producers. Policy alignment therefore becomes critical in developing policy intervention measures.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This exercise has been initiated and will be attached as an annexure to the policy.</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose <u>incorporated</u> in your proposal
	SAPPO	<ul> <li>Training to the approved candidates be provided by the industry</li> <li>Training is one of the key critical elements of comprehensive producer development support. We acknowledge the industry's readiness to provide training to support recipients but also there will be additional training that may be required which might be outsourced from relevant competent service providers other than the industry.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Required bankable business plans to be drafted and approved with the inputs from the industry</li> <li>This is noted hence the industry should form part of the adjudication team at local level (district/provincial).</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Depending on the approval criteria, famers in all categories have the potential to contribute in one way or another. With assistance of the extension</li> <li>Depending on the approval criteria, famers in all categories of the extension</li> <li>This is noted and it was further confirmed by other stakeholders that all producers should contribute.</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected	stakeholders/	What	amendmen	<u>nts</u> do	they	Have	these	amendments	been
	Concerned	stakeholders	propo	se			incorpo	rated in y	our proposal	
				officials from	both gover	nment				
				and the indus	stries, cano	didates				
				with potential of	can be iden	tified.				
			0	Preference be	given to e	existing	o This	is noted v	with appreciation.	
				farmers in de	esperate ne	eed of				
				financial a	ssistance	and				
				extension sup	port in or	der to				
				grow. In this	way, the	farmer				
				has automati	cally contr	ributed				
				(monetary).	The farme	er will				
				further have	to contrib	ute in				
				kind by pro	ovision of	both				
				financial a	nd proc	duction				
				records, pro	vision of	the				
				business plan	(not nece	essarily				
				bankable),	declaration	of				
				interest as	well as	the				
				availability of t	he farmer.	Please				
				note that sm	allholder fa	armers				

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		cannot always be full-time	
		farmers as the unit's might not	
		be sufficient to be the main	
		income.	
		$\circ$ Support to the farm can be	
		terminated if commitment and	$_{\odot}$ This has been added on section 10
		co-operation is not shown form	"Roles and responsibilities" under the
		the farmer. However such	"Role of Producers"
		instances can be avoided by	
		having a memorandum of	
		understanding signed between	
		the beneficiary and the financer	
		(DAFF).	
		<ul> <li>Recommended that the farm be</li> </ul>	$\circ$ This is still within the proposed Exit
		existed at a commercial level	Strategy of the draft policy except the
		than at a break-even point, or	fact that second bankable business plan
		decision be based on a case by	will be financed at 50:50 own

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		case. To move from a 100 sow	contribution.
		unit to a commercial 200 sow	
		unit, financial assistance will still	
		be necessary. The farm can be	
		existed at least 3years after	
		running as a commercial.	
	National Departments	$\circ$ To include value for money and	$_{\odot}$ This has been included as a principle in
		accountability both from	the policy.
		government implementing agents	
		and producers	
	MINTECH	• Producer registration: Agricultural	$_{\odot}$ The Directorate ICT is busy with the
		Information Management System	establishment of a Producer Register
		(AIMS) already registers producers	System which is expected to be finalised
		(vulnerability assessment project is	when the policy is approved by Cabinet.
		going on). You need to have	
		systems in place to smooth	
		implementation of the policy.	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		<ul> <li>Needs assessment – what if they don't have the skill (what then?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A section indicating that Government to embark on capacity building where there are no skills has been added to the policy</li> </ul>
B4: Categories of	Mpumalanga Department of	$_{\odot}$ The term "Indigent" does not fit	$\circ$ This was amended to refer to a lower
Producers	Agriculture	well to the description of producers	category of producers as "Household
		in the sector	Producers"
	Reference Group	• The definition of farmer categories	• Farmer categories have been discussed
		need to be revisited as there a	in detail at various platforms. However,
		number of documents in	there are many suggestions and the
		government and academic which	policy will consider what seem to be
		clearly articulate the various	feasible for the sector.
		categories of producers.	
	FruitSA	$\circ$ Consistency in the terminology is	• The term "Household Producer" has been
		needed – e.g. subsistence farmer	kept but the definition modified to
		vs household producer. The well-	include some of the elements of
		known and documented	"Subsistence Producer".
		terminology is subsistence farmers.	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		0	
	SAPPO	• A unit is commercial when at 250	<ul> <li>There is a bit of a contradiction here with</li> </ul>
		sow. A 100 sow unit is therefore	the current definition but there essence
		considered a small-holder, though	of small and large vs commercial (profit)
		with an annual turnover of R4	orientation will therefore take
		million	precedence.
	SASA	○ The term 'Household Producer' be	○ The term "Household Producer" has been
		renamed to Subsistence Producer.	kept but the definition modified to
		Under section 7.1.1 it is proposed	include some of the elements of
		that a 'voucher system to subsidize	"Subsistence Producer". We are of the
		production inputs'. Rural	opinion that the utilisation of an e-
		subsistence producers often do not	voucher system for inputs supply – either
		have the means to travel long	at agro-dealers or local centres, should
		distances to town and cities to	be utilised.

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		access agricultural input suppliers.	
		Hence, the statement should be	
		revised to enable other cost	
		effective and transparent methods	
		to be put in place to enable	
		subsistence producers to access	
		production inputs timeously, at	
		local centres.	
		$\circ$ SASA therefore would want the	$_{\odot}$ The support requirement for cooperative
		drafting team to consider the need	is noted and has been included in the
		for a <b>sub category</b> that makes	various categories. Cooperative per se is
		specific reference to the support	not a category but a business entity
		needs of co-operatives. A specific	which can either be small, medium or
		need of co-operatives is	large and its support requirements will
		governance support in order to	also differ depending on the scale of
		ensure that the entity functions as	operation and skills of the members.
		per its constitution.	
	MINTECH	The issue of categorisation	• DAF welcomes written comments on this
		needs to be looked into esp.	and any section of the policy.
		Household Producers	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
B5: Policy	HortGro, SATGI, SAPPO	There is a need to include private	$\circ$ This was included in the current draft
Implementation Plan		sector in the process flow for policy.	policy
B6: Policy	CASP QRM	$_{\odot}~$ The policy needs to be clear on the	$\circ$ A section which outlines the roles and
Implementation		role of the state and other relevant	responsibilities of the various
Mechanism		stakeholders.	stakeholders has been added in the
			policy.
		o Recommended that the industry	<ul> <li>Noted, this has been added in section 10</li> </ul>
		representative be involved from	"Roles and responsibilities"
		the planning phase of the project	
		through to the approval. The	
		involvement of the industries will	
		also assist with the identification of	
		potential beneficiaries	
B7: Policy	Western Cape Department of	o Indicate who must take	• A section which includes the roles and
Interventions	Agriculture	responsibility for the various	responsibilities of various stakeholders
		actions included in the intervention	has been inserted.
		section.	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
	FruitSA	• The level of support (capped	$_{\odot}$ This is noted and should be taken into
		amounts) needs to be commodity	consideration when approving related
		specific. Fruit farming is a long-	projects for support. A bankable business
		term and capital intensive	plan should be able to leverage from
		investment venture. A capital	limited state and commercially available
		invest of R250 000 is required to	loans through development financial
		establish 1 ha of fruit trees. An	institutions and commercial banks where
		additional amount of R40 000 per	necessary.
		ha per annum is required for	
		maintenance. The trees will only	
		start bearing fruits after 5-6 years.	
		One will need at least 20 ha to	
		have an economically viable fruit	
		farm. Therefore, you will need	
		R9.8 million to establish a 20 ha	
		viable fruit farm over 5 years. How	
		will the cash flow issues be	
		addressed while waiting for the	
		long-term crops to mature?	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		<ul> <li>The policy needs to look at the whole commodity value chain. If farmers are supported to produce a commodity, where are they going to pack it, which market will they supply to, what are the requirements of that market, Private standards and compliance issues, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is noted hence it is the intent of the policy to "Capacitate and support the certification of smallholder producers to meet national and international standards"</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Policy needs to be clear on black empowerment (HDI), producer support is inclusive of all producers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>We concur with the proposal by FruitSA hence a policy statement that "Only land reform and private acquisition by previously disadvantaged individuals (PDIs) will be considered for government support to ensure the attainment of transformation targets".</li> </ul>
	SAPPO	$\circ$ Recommending provision of non-	$\circ$ This is noted but as commodity specific

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		reproductive animals – Support to	support, it will be dealt as such and
		farmers be in a form of out-grower	therefore cannot be used to generalise
		(Weaner provision) units than	support as it may not be relevant for
		breeding units (sows & boars).	other commodities.
		Once the animals reproduce,	
		household producers are not	
		always able to maintain the	
		increased number of animals and	
		this may lead to animals being	
		malnourished, and therefore	
		forcing farmers to continuously	
		seek support.	
		$_{\odot}$ Proposed R10 000 per household	$_{\odot}$ This has been revised to a maximum of
		can only support the production	R50 000 per household following
		inputs and not the infrastructure,	consultations with commodity
		indicating that the producer must	organisations.
		provide own infrastructure or vice	
		versa.	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
	Natural Resource	$_{\odot}$ The options proposed in the policy	$\circ$ A new section has been added in the
	Management (NRM)	where still more institutional and	policy which outlines various
	MINTECH Working Group	less focus was on operational	interventions in line with the
		problems as identified in the	problems/challenges identified in the
		problem statement.	problem statement.
	SASA	$_{\odot}$ The draft document (page 15)	• The Pillars relate to support intervention
		makes reference to Comprehensive	and thus a section on Funding
		Producer Development Support	Mechanism (Section 11) has been
		Pillars. Access to finance was noted	expanded to include proposal from SASA
		under the problem statement as a	and other stakeholders.
		key challenge. However, this has	
		not translated into a specific	
		intervention measure, but confined	
		to a paragraph under section 10 of	
		the document.	
		$_{\odot}$ SASA proposes that Access to	
		Development Finance for	
		Production be elevated to a "Pillar".	
		It is important to make reference	
		to the manner in which finances	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		for agricultural production support	
		will be made available, with	
		government committing to an	
		integrated model of access to	
		a mix of loan and grant	
		finance.	
		$_{\odot}$ Section 8 and 9 of the document	$_{\odot}$ This is noted with appreciation. We also
		proposes a series of institutional	concur with the proposal hence the
		mechanisms at National, Provincial	statement in that section which states
		and District Level. The institutional	that "This implies that parallel structures
		arrangements at a District level to	of agriculture, forestry and fisheries as
		co-ordinate of land reform and	well as those of Rural Development and
		agriculture needs rationalisation.	Land Reform should be merged and their
		Currently, the Department of Rural	mandate be expanded".
		Development and Land Reform has	
		District Land Reform Committee,	
		District Agri-parks Management	
		Committees. SASA urges	
		government not to set up new	
		structures but to consolidate all	

Issue / Section	Affected	stakeholders/	/ What <u>amendments</u> do they Have these amendments been
	Concerned	stakeholders	propose <u>incorporated</u> in your proposal
			current structures at a District level.
	CASP QRM		<ul> <li>There is a need to revise establishment cost as the ceiling may be a hindrance to development. The CAPs should be enterprise/commodity specific.</li> <li>The proposal to have a capped suppot on grant funding was discussed at length with various stakeholders and there has been a general consensus that it should be adopted for the CPDS except where investment is on public goods e.g. community fencing projects, investment in water reticulation for communal livestock/irrigation schemes etc.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>There is a need to assess the enterprise and the type of support requirements for the various categories of producers, there is a still a need to assess enterprise specific needs which cannot be pre-empted in the policy.</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
	MINTECH	<ul> <li>Sustainable Management of NR: There should be some triggers on water. Then the answer should be "NO"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>At this stage, this is not explicitly included in the policy however it will form part of comprehensive due diligence investigations to be undertaken as part of business plan assessment.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>There maybe a need for some kind of insurance linked to production so that farmers can afford it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A section on the provision of development financial services includes a narrative on insurance as well.</li> </ul>
B8: Impact Theory of Change	Forestry South Africa	<ul> <li>The context of the Impact Theory of Change (Annexure A) should be elaborated in the policy document.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A section outlining the various components of the Impact Theory of Change has been included in the document.</li> </ul>
	CASP QRM	<ul> <li>The focus should not be on numbers but on the impact of this policy/support interventions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is noted with appreciation hence the development of an impact theory of change to check the likely impact of successful implementation of the policy.</li> </ul>
B9. Linkages to	Reference Group,	$\circ$ The Policy should review further	• The section on "Linkages to other policies

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
other policies	Department of Water and Sanitation CASP QRM	<ul> <li>the legislative framework to assess the possible impact of existing legislation on the intended objectives of the policy.</li> <li>There is a need to make reference to Spatial Planning and Land Use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>and legislations" was amended to include suggested policies.</li> <li>This has been added on section 6 of the policy "Linkages to other policies and</li> </ul>
B10. Roles and responsibilities	SASA and CASP QRM	Management Act (SPLUMA) <ul> <li>SASA proposes that the policy includes a chapter that defines the role of the private sector, commodity organisations, banks and organised agriculture. The sugar industry has put in place significant resources to support black farmers, and therefore commodity organisations and their members play an important role in the provision of support services. The industry currently provides a range of services specialist</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>legislations"</li> <li>This comment is noted with appreciation. Section 10 of the current draft policy include the roles and responsibilities of various key stakeholders.</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders	/ What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		extension, governance and	
		business management support for	
		Trusts, CPA's and Co-operatives,	
		training and skills development,	
		agricultural project management,	
		and special economic services. In	
		addition the industry has	
		developed a mechanisation policy	
		which has been used to guide the	
		mechanisation needs for land	
		reform farms under the	
		Recapitalisation and Development	
		Programme.	
	FruitSA	<ul> <li>Institutional arrangements need</li> </ul>	o In terms of the proposed institutional
		to be clear. DRDLR receives a	arrangements, there is a need for a
		large portion of funding geared	closer and collaborative working relations
		towards agricultural	between DAFF and DRDLR.
		development. How will this	
		policy regulate their allocation	
		and that of other Departments	

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose <u>incorporated</u> in your proposal
		<ul> <li>which are operating is the same space, e.g. Dept Social Development.</li> <li>Partnerships – need to be clear on the role of each partner.</li> <li>A new section on the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders</li> </ul>
		What role is the industry expected/required to play?has been added.
	MINTECH	<ul> <li>Agree on collaboration though there is a need to include industry. They have to buy-in into the policy and subsequently its implementation</li> <li>A new section on the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders (including Industry) has been added.</li> </ul>
B11 Transversal Policy Measures	FruitSA	<ul> <li>Capacity development aspects:</li> <li>using this policy instrument, can the Department partner with the Universities or Collage of Agriculture and support graduate to start their own</li> <li>The participation of youth in agriculture I very important. This comment has been added to Transversal Policy Measures that focuses on measures to attract youth in agriculture, forestry and fisheries.</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		enterprises?	
B12 Policy Review	MINTECH	$\circ$ Build-in two to three years	$_{\odot}~$ This has now been included in the policy.
		review of percentages so that	
		they can be adjusted to suit	
		provincial needs	
Narrow definition of	Study Group	$\circ$ The challenge is not only the	$\circ$ The matter is addressed through a
problem statement		issue of enacting harmonised	section on natural resource
		rules, but how those rules	management that promotes climate
		enable ecological sustainability	smart agricultural practices which
		and are seen as legitimate and	come in the form of incentives for
		can thus be potentially adhered	producers.
		and advanced by other	
		stakeholders.	
Innovative Finance			<ul> <li>The policy has dedicated sections</li> </ul>
Mechanisms		○ The improvement of	addressing support measures that
		government financing is not a	include both financial and non-
		silver bullet alone. The policy	financial support packages. Innovative
		should articulate the role of a	financing models (i.e. blended
		broad state development	financial support) with be adopted
		support package of financial	using both loans and grant funding

THIRD CONSULTATIVE REPORT ON CPDS

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		and non-financial goods and	based on bankable business plans.
		services (such as technical	
		support, regulatory, tax	
		incentives etc.), while	
		entrenching a set of ethos and	
		values which will sustain and	
		promote investment into the	
		sector.	
Disjuncture between		o The Proposed Policy Actions	<ul> <li>The policy problem statements have</li> </ul>
the Problem		emerge out of a broad	been categorised however the
Statement and		clustering and characterization	narrative clearly articulates the
Objectives as well as		of what constitutes the key	structural root causes. For instance
policy actions		problems for the sector, these	there are existing sector policies
		Policy Actions do not address	which articulate the root causes in the
		the essence of problems or	sector e.g. National Policy on
		identify and address the root-	Extension and Advisory Services.
		causes of the problems. It is	Hence he NPCPDS does into go into
		proposed that a broader, but	such detail.
		incisive articulation and	The policy addresses policy shipstives
		interpretation of the main	<ul> <li>The policy addresses policy objectives by introducing policy proposals/</li> </ul>

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
Policy Principles are not new		<ul> <li>problem facing the sector</li> <li>The key observations in this regard are that the policy does not necessarily clarify, whether to what extent are the current "guiding principles" are currently being carried out by the government in its current support programmes.</li> <li>How the government seeks to do things differently</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>intervention measures to respond directly to each problem highlighted.</li> <li>Some of the Principles were adopted from existing programmes and as inputs from the stakeholder consultations given their importance in policy implementation.</li> <li>The new policy principles included mandatory producer registration, capped support, value chain approach and exit strategies all which introduce new conditions to producer support.</li> <li>The principles further address compliance to set regulations in the sector such as CARA and labour and Tax regulations</li> </ul>
Support Packages		o There is need for clear	• The policy categorises producers and

Issue / Section	Affected stakeholders/	What <u>amendments</u> do they	Have these amendments been
	Concerned stakeholders	propose	incorporated in your proposal
		<ul> <li>delineation between support directed at economic goals and social upliftment – current approaches tend to cloud the two and this leads to inefficiencies.</li> <li>It is proposed that support should be relatively comprehensive and informed by a customized needs assessment of producer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>introduces ring fenced funds for each producer group. The types of support are also defined. Further the policy introduces social support in the form of catering for Households Producers (Vulnerable). The support for these producers is mainly of social upliftment whilst the Smallholder and Medium Scale commercial producer categories are directed at economic objectives.</li> <li>From an economic perspective the policy introduced support measures based on factual agricultural economic studies done on various commodities. Hence funding is based on bankable business plans for Smallholder and Medium Scale commercial producers.</li> </ul>
Need for a Skills		• There is still low skills of farm	$_{\odot}$ This is acknowledged and thus the

Issue / Section	Affected	stakeholders/	What	amendment	<u>s</u> do	they	Have	these	ame	ndments	been
	Concerned	stakeholders	propo	se			<u>incor</u>	porated in y	your pi	roposal	
Assessment				management		among		policy prop	oses tł	nat a skills	audit be
				beneficiaries				done which	n will in	form the s	kills gaps
								to be addre	essed fo	or producer	s.
							0	The poli	icy p	proposes	capacity
								developme	nt in va	arious field	s such as
								record keep	oing an	d financial l	iteracy.
Producer Definitions			0	Need for a clea	ar definit	ion and	0	Producers	are	categorise	d using
are unclear				profile of a 'pr	oducer' (	(i.e. not		annual tui	nover	as a pro	oxy. The
				everyone is fa	nrmer) a	nd this		definitions	are p	rovided w	hich also
				should be i	internaliz	ed by		incorporate	reco	mmendatio	ns from
				stakeholders.				Operation	Phakisa	Producer	Support-
								Work Strea	m.		
							0	Extensive of	consulta	ations in th	nis regard
								were n	nade	including	g the
								establishme	ent of a	a Special Ta	ask Team
								which inclu	ded Th	e DRDLR a	nd PDAs.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS

This consultative report outlines the approaches used for conducting consultations for the Policy on Comprehensive Producer Development Support since inception till end of December 2017. As a third consultative report, it highlighted key issues raised by various groups of stakeholders to be considered by the Policy Drafting Team.

In summary, the report shows that stakeholders were interested in both the editorial and technical parts of the policy. However, there have been few written comments received so far particularly from commodity organisations. It is however anticipated that there will be an increase in written comments during the broader stakeholder consultative process after the policy has been gazetted.